



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Mathematics & Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJMCS_6691
Title of the Manuscript:	A Nonlocal Boundary Value mixed Problem for second-order hyperbolic-parabolic equations in nonclassical function spaces
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The paper represents new and original research and contains previously unpublished material. I think that the paper is a little bit too long. The proofs of theorems 3.1 and 4.1 might be written in shortest way. The paper contains the "state-of-the-art" section. There is made review of literature in this area, but the bibliography isn't up-to-date. The author might find newest literature in this research area. Also the references [21] and [27] are not referred in the text. Some of the sentences aren't clear. There are some mistakes. For example: pg. 2, line 9 from the top is written "cloosed" should be "closed" and ect. The English of the paper should be improved (in all text).	We took the formulations of sentences, ERRORS have been corrected throughout the article. We have also taken profoundements calculations and huge ERRORS were detected and corrected throughout the document.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		